Width of the confinement-induced resonance in a quasi-one-dimensional trap with transverse anisotropy

Fang Qin,[1,2,*] Jian-Song Pan,[1,2,†] Su Wang,[1,2,‡] and Guang-Can Guo[1,2]

[1] *Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

[2] *Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

(Dated: March 16, 2017)

We theoretically study the width of the s-wave confinement-induced resonance (CIR) in quasi-one-dimensional atomic gases under tunable transversely anisotropic confinement. We find that the width of the CIR can be tuned by varying the transverse anisotropy. The change in the width of the CIR can manifest itself in the position of the discontinuity in the interaction energy density, which can be probed experimentally.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Hh, 34.50.-s, 03.65.Nk

arXiv:1702.05761v2 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 15 Mar 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Low-dimensional quantum gas has attracted more and more attentions since its experimental realization [1–6]. Experimentally, quasi-low-dimensional quantum gases can be realized by introducing tight confinements with optical lattices to freeze one or two spatial degrees of freedom. Besides, the interactions between two atoms can be tuned by a Feshbach resonance. Based on these experimental techniques, the quasi-low-dimensional quantum gases can provide an ideal platform to study the fundamental many-body physics which is very different from that of three-dimensional gases.

The quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) quantum gases can be realized by introducing a two-dimensional deep optical lattice in the transverse plane. In this situation, the kinetic energy of the particles is too weak to drive them to the transversal excited energy levels. When the two-body interaction cannot support a bound state, the transverse confinement can be used to modify scattering along the unconfined direction by providing an additional structure of the transverse energy levels. Varying the trapping frequencies, the effective one-dimensional scattering length even becomes divergent, which is the so-called confinement-induced resonance (CIR) [7–30].

The transverse anisotropy is a basic tunable parameter for a quasi-1D quantum gas. The CIR for an ultracold quasi-1D gas has been observed by measuring the atom loss and heating rate with respect to different transversely anisotropic confinements [1]. The corresponding resonance position of the CIR has been theoretically studied using the zero-energy s-wave-scattering pseudopotential approach [10–12]. With the K-matrix approach [13–16], the positions of l-wave CIRs in both isotropic and anisotropic harmonic waveguides have been calculated [17, 18]. Moreover, the positions of dipolar CIRs have been discussed in Refs. [19–21]. As one basic quantity to describe a Feshbach resonance, the width of a CIR also attracts many attentions. For example, with the two-channel model of Lange et al. [22], the shifts and widths of s- and p-wave CIRs in quasi-1D isotropic traps have been studied [23, 24]. With the general grid method suggested in Ref. [25], the impact of multichannel scattering on the positions and widths of CIRs under isotropic transversal confinement has been investigated [26].

In this work, we study the width of the s-wave CIR in quasi-1D gases under tunable transversely anisotropic confinement using the Fermi-Huang pseudopotential approach [7]. We propose to tune the width of the CIR with the transverse frequency ratio. Furthermore, we carefully study the thermodynamics of this system and find the change of the CIR width can manifest itself in the position of the discontinuity in the interaction energy density. At first, we analytically calculate the resonance position B_{1D} and width Δ_{1D} of the CIR. We find that both B_{1D} and Δ_{1D} can be tuned by varying the ratio between the two transverse trapping frequencies. Typically, we find that, for quasi-1D ^{133}Cs Bose gases, Δ_{1D} diverges at two critical frequency ratios η_{c1} and η_{c2}, while there is no divergence in the CIR width for ^{40}K atoms. Furthermore, we study the normal-state thermodynamics of the quasi-1D atomic gases across the CIRs using the quantum virial expansions. Interestingly, the scattering branch of the interaction energy shows an abrupt discontinuity at the magnetic field $B = B_{1D} + \Delta_{1D}$, which can be detected in experiment.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the model Hamiltonian. We derive the resonance width and position of the s-wave CIRs as functions of the transverse anisotropy. In Sec. III, we discuss the corresponding normal-state thermodynamics of the quasi-1D atomic gases using the quantum virial expansions. Finally, we give a summary in Sec. IV.

* qinfang@ustc.edu.cn
† panjsong@mail.ustc.edu.cn
‡ james016@mail.ustc.edu.cn

II. CIR WITH TRANSVERSE ANISOTROPY

A. General formalism

We consider two interacting atoms in a harmonic trap with tight confinements in the transverse $x-y$ plane and a weak confinement in the axial z-direction. The transverse confinements are anisotropic, i.e., $\omega_x = \eta\omega_y \gg \omega_z$ with transverse trapping frequency ratio $\eta = \omega_x/\omega_y$, where $\omega_\xi (\xi = x, y, z)$ is the trapping frequency in ξ axis. Near an s-wave Feshbach resonance, the interaction between the atoms is dominated by the s-wave scattering, and the Hamiltonian for the relative atomic motion can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{rel} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \hat{H}_\perp + g_{3D}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{r})\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r\cdot), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_\perp = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_r}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}m_r\omega_y^2\left(\eta^2 x^2 + y^2\right). \quad (2)$$

Here, $g_{3D} = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{3D}/M$ is the three-dimensional coupling rate, $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant, a_{3D} is the three-dimensional s-wave scattering length, and $m_r = M/2$ is the reduced mass for the relative motion with atom mass M.

Following the standard renormalization procedure [12, 31, 32], we write the energy-dependent (or k-dependent) three-dimensional s-wave scattering length $a_{3D}(k)$ as

$$a_{3D}(k) = a_{bg}\left[1 + \frac{\Delta}{E(k)/\delta\mu - (B - B_0)}\right], \quad (3)$$

where $E(k) = \hbar^2k^2/M + (\eta + 1)\hbar\omega_y/2$, a_{bg} is the background scattering length, B is the magnetic-field strength, B_0 is the position of the Feshbach resonance, Δ is the three-dimensional resonance width, and $\delta\mu$ is the magnetic-moment difference between the atom state and the closed-channel molecular state. The expression of energy-dependent scattering length in Eq. (3) is applicable for both wide and narrow Feshbach resonances.

The quasi-1D scattering amplitude can be written as [33–35]

$$f_e(k) = \frac{-1}{1 + i\cot\delta_s(k)}. \quad (4)$$

Here, the phase shift $\delta_s(k)$ is given by

$$\cot\delta_s(k) = -\frac{kd^2}{2\sqrt{\eta}a_{3D}}\left[1 - C(\eta)\frac{a_{3D}}{d}\right], \quad (5)$$

where $d = \sqrt{2\hbar/(M\omega_y)}$ and

$$C(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty du\left\{\frac{1}{u^{3/2}} - \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{u}} \times\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-e^{-\eta u})(1-e^{-u})}} - 1\right]\right\}. \quad (6)$$

The effective 1D coupling rate is given by $g_{1D} = \lim_{k\to 0}\frac{\hbar^2 k}{m_r}\frac{\mathrm{Re}\, f_e(k)}{\mathrm{Im}\, f_e(k)}$ [7, 8, 10]. Using Eqs. (3) and (4), we derive

$$g_{1D}(k) = g_{bg}\left[1 + \frac{\Delta_{1D}}{\hbar^2k^2/(M\delta\mu) - (B - B_{1D})}\right], \quad (7)$$

where $g_{bg} = 2\sqrt{\eta}\gamma\hbar\omega_y a_{bg}$,

$$B_{1D} = B_0 - \left[\frac{1}{1 - C(\eta)a_{bg}/d} - 1\right]\Delta + \frac{(\eta+1)\hbar\omega_y}{2\delta\mu}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Delta_{1D} = \frac{\Delta}{1 - C(\eta)a_{bg}/d}. \quad (9)$$

Here, $|\Delta_{1D}| \gg (\eta+1)\hbar\omega_y/\delta\mu$ corresponds to the wide CIR limit, and $|\Delta_{1D}| \ll (\eta+1)\hbar\omega_y/\delta\mu$ corresponds to the narrow CIR limit [12]. We will show in the next subsection that the width of the CIR can be tuned from wide to narrow by varying η.

B. Numerical calculations



FIG. 1. (Color online). (a) and (b) ((c) and (d)) show the resonance position B_{1D} and width Δ_{1D} of ^{40}K (^{133}Cs) atomic gas as functions of η, respectively. Here, we choose $\omega_y = (2\pi)\times 330$kHz (dark red curves) and $\omega_y = (2\pi)\times 80$kHz (light green curves) for comparison. For ^{40}K fermions, the Feshbach resonance is at $B_0 = 202.1$G, and the three-dimensional width is $\Delta = 8$G [36, 37]. For ^{133}Cs bosons, $B_0 = 547$G and $\Delta = 7.5$G [36, 38, 39].

In Fig. 1, we plot the CIR position B_{1D} and width Δ_{1D} as functions of η for ^{40}K and ^{133}Cs atoms, respectively.

For ^{133}Cs atoms with $\omega_y = (2\pi)\times 330$kHz, the CIR width Δ_{1D} diverges at $\eta_{c1} \simeq 0.07$ and $\eta_{c2} \simeq 17.29$, near

which the CIR is wide. However, as η changes, the condition for a wide CIR may not hold. For example, with $\eta = 37$, $|\Delta_{1D}| \simeq 5.16G$, which is on the same order of $(\eta+1)\hbar\omega_y/\delta\mu \simeq 5.33G$.

On the other hand, while there is no divergence in the CIR width for ^{40}K atoms, the condition for the wide CIR typically holds, except for unrealistically large η's. As we will show in the following sections, these changes in the character of the CIR would manifest themselves in the normal-state many-body properties of the quasi-1D atomic gases, when the transverse anisotropy is tuned.

III. THERMODYNAMICS IN NORMAL STATE

In this section, we study the normal-state properties of quasi-1D atomic gases using the quantum virial expansions. For consistency, we assume that the temperature is high enough for the gases to be in the normal state, but not too high to make the excited states in the transverse directions are not thermally populated, i.e., $T \ll (\eta+1)\hbar\omega_y/k_B$, where T is the system temperature and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Here, we mainly focus on the behavior of the interaction energy density, which can be measured in experiment.

A. Fermions

Based on the local density approximation, the thermodynamic potential of an ideal fully spin-polarized Fermi gas in our quasi-1D geometry takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{ideal}(z_\sigma) =& -\frac{1}{\beta}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}dz\int\frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\\ &\times\ln\left[1+z_\sigma e^{-\beta\left(\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}+\frac{M\omega_x^2x^2}{2}+\frac{M\omega_y^2y^2}{2}\right)}\right]\\ =& -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\eta}\frac{L}{\lambda}\frac{(k_BT)^3}{(\hbar\omega_y)^2}f_{7/2}(z_\sigma),\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where L is the system size in the axial direction, $z_\sigma \equiv \exp(\beta\mu_\sigma)$ is the fugacity for spin σ, μ_σ is the corresponding chemical potential, $\beta = 1/(k_BT)$, $\lambda \equiv \sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2/(Mk_BT)}$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength, and $f_\upsilon(z_\sigma) = [1/\Gamma(\upsilon)]\int_0^\infty[x^{\upsilon-1}/(z_\sigma^{-1}e^x+1)]dx$ is the standard Fermi-Dirac integral with the gamma function $\Gamma(\upsilon)$ [40].

Accordingly, we can rewrite the thermodynamic potential of a strongly interacting spin-polarized Fermi gas as (up to the second order) [41, 42]

$$\Omega = -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\eta}\frac{L}{\lambda}\frac{(k_BT)^3}{(\hbar\omega_y)^2}\left[f_{7/2}(z_\uparrow)+f_{7/2}(z_\downarrow)+2z_\uparrow z_\downarrow\Delta b_2\right], \tag{11}$$

where Δb_2 is the second virial coefficient. Therefore, the particle number densities of spin-up and spin-down atoms are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned}n_\uparrow =& -\frac{1}{L}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mu_\uparrow}\\ \simeq& \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar\omega_y}\right)^2\left(z_\uparrow - 2^{-5/2}z_\uparrow^2 + 2z_\uparrow z_\downarrow\Delta b_2\right),\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}n_\downarrow =& -\frac{1}{L}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mu_\downarrow}\\ \simeq& \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar\omega_y}\right)^2\left(z_\downarrow - 2^{-5/2}z_\downarrow^2 + 2z_\uparrow z_\downarrow\Delta b_2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

At high temperatures, we have [40]

$$f_\upsilon(z_\sigma) = z_\sigma - \frac{z_\sigma^2}{2^\upsilon} + \frac{z_\sigma^3}{3^\upsilon} - \cdots. \tag{14}$$

Then we can derive the specific expressions for fugacities:

$$\begin{aligned}z_\uparrow \simeq& \frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\uparrow\lambda + 2^{-5/2}\left[\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\uparrow\lambda\right]^2\\ &- 2\left[\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\uparrow\lambda\right]\left[\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\downarrow\lambda\right]\Delta b_2,\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}z_\downarrow \simeq& \frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\downarrow\lambda + 2^{-5/2}\left[\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\downarrow\lambda\right]^2\\ &- 2\left[\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\uparrow\lambda\right]\left[\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2 n_\downarrow\lambda\right]\Delta b_2.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The logarithm of the grand canonical partition function Ξ can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\Xi =& -\frac{\Omega}{k_BT}\\ =& \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\eta}\frac{L}{\lambda}\left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar\omega_y}\right)^2\left[f_{7/2}(z_\uparrow)+f_{7/2}(z_\downarrow)+2z_\uparrow z_\downarrow\Delta b_2\right].\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Based on the above equations, we derive the internal energy density

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon \equiv& -\frac{1}{L}\left(\frac{\partial\ln\Xi}{\partial\beta}\right)_{z_\sigma}\\ \simeq& \frac{5}{2}\frac{k_BT}{\lambda}\left[n_\uparrow\lambda + 2^{-7/2}\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2(n_\uparrow\lambda)^2\right.\\ &+ n_\downarrow\lambda + 2^{-7/2}\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2(n_\downarrow\lambda)^2\\ &\left.+ \frac{2\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_BT}\right)^2(n_\uparrow\lambda)(n_\downarrow\lambda)\left(-\Delta b_2 + \frac{2}{5}T\Delta b_2'\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\Delta b_2^{'} = d(\Delta b_2)/dT$.

Finally, we can obtain the dimensionless interaction energy density [43, 44]:

$$\epsilon_{int} = -\Delta b_2 + \frac{2}{5} T \Delta b_2^{'}. \tag{19}$$

B. Bosons

Following the similar derivations, the internal energy density for the quasi-1D bosons is given by

$$\epsilon \simeq \frac{5}{2}\frac{k_B T}{\lambda}\left[n\lambda - 2^{-7/2}\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_B T}\right)^2 (n\lambda)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_y}{k_B T}\right)^2 (n\lambda)^2 \left(-\Delta b_2 + \frac{2}{5} T \Delta b_2^{'}\right)\right]. \tag{20}$$

Therefore, we have the dimensionless interaction energy density:

$$\epsilon_{int} = -\Delta b_2 + \frac{2}{5} T \Delta b_2^{'}. \tag{21}$$

C. Second virial coefficients

To calculate the interaction energies in Eqs. (19, 21), we need to evaluate the second virial coefficients Δb_2 at first. They can be expressed in terms of the phase shifts of the corresponding two-body scattering problem. The second virial coefficient Δb_2 for the repulsive scattering branch takes the form [43, 44]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta b_2^{sc} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\hbar^2 k^2/(M k_B T)} \frac{\partial \delta_s(k)}{\partial k}\frac{dk}{\pi} \\ &= \frac{d}{2\pi\sqrt{\eta}} \int_0^\infty \frac{[d/a_{3D} - C(\eta)] e^{-\hbar^2 k^2/(M k_B T)}}{1 + \frac{k^2 d^2}{4\eta}\left[d/a_{3D} - C(\eta)\right]^2} dk.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For the attractive branch, Δb_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta b_2^{bd} &= e^{|E_b|/(k_B T)} \\ &+ \frac{d}{2\pi\sqrt{\eta}} \int_0^\infty \frac{[d/a_{3D} - C(\eta)] e^{-\hbar^2 k^2/(M k_B T)}}{1 + \frac{k^2 d^2}{4\eta}\left[d/a_{3D} - C(\eta)\right]^2} dk.\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

For wide CIR, the binding energy E_b ($E_b < 0$) for the quasi-1D system is determined by [10, 11, 34, 45]

$$\frac{d}{a_{3D}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty du \left[\frac{1}{u^{3/2}} - \frac{\sqrt{\eta} e^{u E_b/(2\hbar\omega_y)}}{\sqrt{u\left(1 - e^{-\eta u}\right)\left(1 - e^{-u}\right)}}\right], \tag{24}$$

where the corresponding a_{3D} is k-independent, i.e., $a_{3D} = a_{bg}[1 - \Delta/(B - B_0)]$.

When the condition for the wide CIR is not satisfied, the binding energy of a shallow bound state can be obtained from

$$\frac{d}{a_{3D}(E_b)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty du \left[\frac{1}{u^{3/2}} - \frac{\sqrt{\eta} e^{u E_b/(2\hbar\omega_y)}}{\sqrt{u\left(1 - e^{-\eta u}\right)\left(1 - e^{-u}\right)}}\right], \tag{25}$$

where the E_b-dependent $a_{3D}(E_b)$ is

$$a_{3D}(E_b) = a_{bg}\left\{1 - \frac{\Delta}{B - \left[B_0 + \frac{(\eta+1)\hbar\omega_y}{2\delta\mu} + \frac{E_b}{\delta\mu}\right]}\right\}. \tag{26}$$

D. Numerical calculations

Following the above analytical expressions, we numerically calculate the second virial coefficients and the interaction energy densities using the typical experimental parameters for ^{40}K and ^{133}Cs atoms, respectively.

In Fig. 2, we plot Δb_2 and ϵ_{int} for two-species ^{40}K fermions across CIR with different η. It shows that the scattering branch goes through an abrupt discontinuity, the position of which is given by $B = B_{1D} + \Delta_{1D}$ [12]. From $\eta = 3$ to $\eta = 1000$, we find that Δb_2 and ϵ_{int} exhibit different features along a wide CIR to a narrow CIR. For example, from a wide CIR to a narrow CIR, the change of the CIR width dramatically shifts the position of the discontinuity point of Δb_2 and ϵ_{int} curves. Therefore, the tunability of the CIR width can leave detectable signatures in the normal-state properties of the many-body system.

In Fig. 3, we show Δb_2 and ϵ_{int} for ^{133}Cs atoms across a CIR with different η. While the general conclusions are similar to the cases of ^{40}K, the tunability of the CIR is even more obvious.

Moreover, we compare the k-dependent (or energy-dependent) curve with the corresponding k-independent curve in Figs. 2 and 3. It is found that the two curves almost coincide with each other for a wide CIR. However, for a narrow CIR, the two curves deviate from each other. Therefore, the k-dependent result is more accurate for a narrow CIR than the k-independent one, while for a wide CIR, both of them are valid.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we have presented a theoretical study on the width of the s-wave CIR in a quasi-1D atomic gas under tunable transversely anisotropic confinement. We find that the width of the CIR can be tuned by varying the transverse anisotropy. Typically, we calculate the resonance position and width of the CIR for ^{40}K and ^{133}Cs atoms. Furthermore, we investigate the normal-state thermodynamics of the quasi-1D atomic gases. As



FIG. 2. (Color online). Second virial coefficient of two-species ^{40}K fermions across the CIRs at $T = 5\mu K$ for (a) $\eta = 3$, (c) $\eta = 100$, and (e) $\eta = 1000$. Interaction energy for (b) $\eta = 3$, (d) $\eta = 100$, and (f) $\eta = 1000$. Here, we choose k-dependent (dark red curves) and k-independent (light green curves) for comparison with fixed $\omega_y = (2\pi) \times 330$kHz. The Feshbach resonance is at $B_0 = 202.1$G with width $\Delta = 8$G, $a_{bg} \simeq 174a_0$ and $\delta\mu = 1.68\mu_B$ for ^{40}K fermions, where $a_0 \simeq 0.529\times10^{-10}$m is the Bohr radius and $\mu_B \simeq 9.274 \times 10^{-28}$J/G is the Bohr magneton [36, 37]. Solid and dashed lines are respectively for scattering and attractive branches.

two typical examples, we also calculate the interaction energy densities of ^{40}K and ^{133}Cs atomic gases across both the wide and narrow CIRs with different transverse anisotropy. We find that the change in the width of the CIR can manifest itself in the position of the discontinuity in the interaction energy density, which can be probed experimentally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Wei Yi, Wei Zhang, Xiaoling Cui, V. S. Melezhik, and P. Schmelcher for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program (Grant No. 2016YFA0301700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11404106), and the "Strategic Priority Research Program(B)" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB01030200). F.Q. acknowledges support from the Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2016M602011).



FIG. 3. (Color online). Second virial coefficient of two-species ^{133}Cs bosons across the CIRs at $T = 5\mu K$ for (a) $\eta = 0.08$, (c) $\eta = 3$, and (e) $\eta = 100$. Interaction energy for (b) $\eta = 0.08$, (d) $\eta = 3$ and (f) $\eta = 100$. Here, we choose k-dependent (dark red curves) and k-independent (light green curves) for comparison with fixed $\omega_y = (2\pi) \times 330$kHz. The Feshbach resonance is at $B_0 = 547$G with width $\Delta = 7.5$G, $a_{bg} \simeq 2500a_0$, and $\delta\mu = 1.79\mu_B$ for ^{133}Cs bosons [36, 38, 39]. Solid and dashed lines are respectively for scattering and attractive branches.

[1] E. Haller, M. J. Mark, R. Hart, J. G. Danzl, L. Reichsollner, V. Melezhik, P. Schmelcher, and H.-C. Nägerl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 153203 (2010).

[2] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).

[3] K. Martiyanov, V. Makhalov, and A. Turlapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 030404 (2010).

[4] B. Fröhlich, M. Feld, E. Vogt, M. Koschorreck, W. Zwerger, and M. Köhl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 105301 (2011).
[5] V. Makhalov, K. Martiyanov, and A. Turlapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 045301 (2014).
[6] M. G. Ries, A. N. Wenz, G. Zürn, L. Bayha, I. Boettcher, D. Kedar, P. A. Murthy, M. Neidig, T. Lompe, and S. Jochim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 230401 (2015).
[7] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 938 (1998);
[8] T. Bergeman, M. G. Moore, and M. Olshanii, Rev. Lett. **91**, 163201 (2003).
[9] P. Naidon, E. Tiesinga, W. F. Mitchell, and P. S. Julienne, New J. Phys. **9**, 19 (2007).
[10] S.-G. Peng, S. S. Bohloul, X.-J. Liu, H. Hu, and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 063633 (2010).
[11] W. Zhang and P. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 053615 (2011).
[12] X. Cui, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 012705 (2012).
[13] B. E. Granger and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 133202 (2004).
[14] P. Giannakeas, F. K. Diakonos, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 042703 (2012).
[15] B. Heß, P. Giannakeas, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 052716 (2014).
[16] B. Heß, P. Giannakeas, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 022706 (2015).
[17] G. Wang, P. Giannakeas, and P. Schmelcher, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **49**, 165302 (2016).
[18] S. Shadmehri, S. Saeidian, and V. S. Melezhik, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 063616 (2016).
[19] P. Giannakeas, V. S. Melezhik, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 183201 (2013).
[20] T. Shi and S. Yi, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 042710 (2014).
[21] L. Guan, X. Cui, R. Qi, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 023604 (2014).
[22] A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Prantner, F. Ferlaino, B. Engeser, H.-C. Nägerl, R. Grimm, and C. Chin, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013622 (2009).
[23] S. Saeidian, V. S. Melezhik, and P. Schmelcher, Rev. A **86**, 062713 (2012).
[24] S. Saeidian, V. S Melezhik, and Peter Schmelcher, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **48**, 155301 (2015).
[25] S. Saeidian, V. S. Melezhik, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 042721 (2008).
[26] V. S. Melezhik and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042712 (2011).
[27] P. Giannakeas, V. S. Melezhik, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 023618 (2011).
[28] P. Giannakeas, V. S. Melezhik, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 042703 (2012).
[29] S.-G. Peng, H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, and P. D.Drummond, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 043619 (2011).
[30] R. Qi and X. Guan, Europhysics Letters, **101**, 40002 (2013).
[31] S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, J. N. Milstein, M. L. Chiofalo, R. Walser, and M. J. Holland, Phys. Rev. A, **65**, 053617 (2002).
[32] V. A. Yurovsky, Phys. Rev. A, **71**, 012709 (2005).
[33] J. Levinsen and M. M. Parish, Annual Review of Cold Atoms and Molecules, volume 3, chapter 1, pages 1-75 (2015). arXiv:1408.2737 [cond-mat.quant-gas]
[34] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).
[35] M. Randeria, J.-M. Duan, and L.-Y. Shieh, Phys. Rev. B. **41**, 327 (1990).
[36] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).
[37] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 040403 (2004).
[38] C. Chin, V. Vuletić, A. J. Kerman, S. Chu, E. Tiesinga, P. J. Leo, and C. J. Williams, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 032701 (2004).
[39] A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Prantner, F. Ferlaino, B. Engeser, H.-C. Nägerl, R. Grimm, and C. Chin, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013622 (2009).
[40] R. K. Pathria, *Statistical Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1996).
[41] X.-J. Liu, Physics Reports **524**, 37 (2013).
[42] F. Qin, X. Cui, and W. Yi, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 063616 (2016).
[43] T.-L. Ho and E. J. Mueller , Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 160404, (2004).
[44] T.-L. Ho and N. Zahariev, arXiv:0408469v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas].
[45] J. R. Taylor, *Scattering Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1972).